



# Natural deduction for modal logic

# Towards ND for modal logic

- Because  $\diamond A \equiv \neg \Box \neg A$ , we drop  $\diamond$  for simplicity.
- Fact: if we add the rule below to ND for propositional logic, we get an inference system for basic modal logic.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\Box \Gamma \vdash \Box B}$$

(If  $\Gamma = A_1, \dots, A_n$ , then  $\Box \Gamma$  stands for  $\Box A_1, \dots, \Box A_n$ .)



# Soundness and completeness

---

- Soundness: see lecture.
- Completeness: beyond the scope of this lecture.

# Not yet ND

Issue:

- The rule we just added is not an ND-introduction rule (because  $\square$  is also introduced on the left);
- This is not in the spirit of ND.
- This can be fixed, but it requires boxes (similar the ones used for  $\rightarrow i$ , but with some important differences).

# Introduction and elimination of $\square$

- Proofs can contain boxes that deal with  $\square$ .
- $\square$ -elimination: if we have proved  $\square A$ , then we can put  $A$  as a **hypothesis** into a box.
- $\square$ -introduction: if we have a box whose **conclusion** is  $A$ , we can conclude  $\square A$  outside of the box.

$$\frac{\square A}{\boxed{\begin{array}{c} A \\ \vdots \end{array}}} \square e$$

$$\frac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \end{array}}}{\square A} \square i$$

# Examples and non-examples

The judgment

$$\Box A \wedge \Box B \vdash \Box(A \wedge B)$$

has an ND proof, but the two judgments below don't (see lecture).

$$\Box A \vdash \Box\Box A$$

$$\Box A \vdash A$$

# Caution

The boxes for  $\rightarrow$  and  $\square$  differ crucially.

- When we prove  $A \rightarrow B$  by using  $\rightarrow i$ , we can use the hypothesis  $A$  inside the box:

$$\frac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c} A \\ \vdots \\ B \end{array}}}{A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow i.$$

- Moreover, **any** hypotheses from outside the box can be used inside the box. Example: ND proof of  $\vdash A \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B)$  (see lecture).

# Caution

- By contrast, when we prove  $\Box B$  by using  $\Box i$ , then the hypotheses in the box must be put inside the box by  $\Box e$ .
- So we must make sure that we do not confuse the two types of boxes. (E.g. by using different colors, or dashed vs. solid lines.)
- Example: a proof of  $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \vdash (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$ .